

From: Vanessa Browne

Sent: Monday, 24 March 2025 12:10 pm

To: Andrew Stevens <Andrew.Stevens@nzta.govt.nz>; Cate Quinn <Cate.Quinn@nzta.govt.nz>

Cc: Kirsten Taylor <Kirsten.Taylor@nzta.govt.nz>; Lydia Bloy <lydia.bloy@nzta.govt.nz>; Jared Humm <Jared.Humm@nzta.govt.nz>; Maurice Marquardt <Maurice.Marquardt@nzta.govt.nz>

Subject: SH16 - Stage 1 - wire rope barrier

Hi Andrew (and FYI Cate),

Out of Scope

I have therefore carefully considered installation of WRB on SH16 Brigham to Waimauku, Stage 1 and my direction is to not proceed with WRB. Key points below:

- Project purpose is to improve safety and efficiency. To date stage 1 has included shoulder and centre line widening, improved intersections and several turning bays – road could be expected to be safer already.
- **Out of Scope**
I understand that some stage 2 budget may have been used to cover shortfall on stage 1.
- Primary sentiment in the community is just to get on with the project. I understand there isn't undue concern about installation of WRB, however, in Stage 1 there are 30 access points. s 9(2)(g)(i)
- CEAG reviewed and based on project objectives (safety), recommended continuing with WRB, however this didn't consider community sentiment. s 9(2)(g)(i)
- Sensitivity around not proceeding may occur, as needed to go to environment court to obtain land for one turning bay. Turning bay however will presumably improve safety regardless.

Andrew, can you communicate this with sensitivity to your team and Cate can you do the same to Sulo re: CEAG. Cate, would you also advise Ben/VOS that this decision has been made, and request to carry out any retrospective updates to VOS required (e.g. noting scope change to investors, returning \$\$ to NLTF).

Happy to discuss.

Thank you,

Vanessa Browne
Interim GGM Te Toki Transport Services

Email: Vanessa.Browne@nzta.govt.nz

s 9(2)(a)

5

NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi
44 Bowen Street
Private Bag 6995, Wellington 6141, New Zealand
[Connect with us on social media](#)



www.nzta.govt.nz